| 2 | SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK - CIVIL TERM - PART 46 |
|----|---|
| 3 | THEO CHINO, |
| 4 | Plaintiff, Index No. |
| 5 | 101880/15 |
| 6 | -against- |
| 7 | DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES, |
| 8 | ANTHONY J. ALBANESE, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE ACTING SUPERINTENDENT, |
| 9 | Defendants. |
| 10 | 71 Thomas Street |
| 11 | Application New York New York 10007 March 16, 2017 |
| 12 | BEFORE: |
| 13 | |
| 14 | HONORABLE LUCY BILLINGS, |
| 15 | SUPREME COURT JUSTICE |
| 16 | APPEARANCES: |
| 17 | THE CIRIC LAW FIRM PLLC Attorneys For the Plaintiff |
| | 622 East 20th Street Suite 2A |
| 18 | New York, New York 10009 BY: PIERRE CIRIC, ESQ. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | STATE OF NEW YORK |
| 21 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN |
| 22 | Attorneys For the Defendant 120 Broadway |
| 23 | New York, New York 10271-0332 BY: ALISSA S. WRIGHT, ESQ. |
| 24 | JONATHAN CONLEY, ESQ. |
| 3 | Assistant Attorney Generals Litigation Bureau |
| 25 | CHERYL-LEE LORIENT SENIOR COURT REPORTER |
| 26 | |

| 1 | Proceedings 2 |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: Chino versus Department of |
| 3 | Financial Services. |
| 4 | THE COURT: I have a memorandum for |
| 5 | plaintiff petitioner's motion for limited disclosure. |
| 6 | MR. CIRIC: Yes. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Okay. I don't have any Notice of |
| 8 | Motion for that and I have no opposition. |
| 9 | MR. CIRIC: Okay. If I may, the return date |
| 10 | for the motion for the limited discovery, the 408 |
| 11 | motion, was, in fact, the 14th which was the snow day. |
| 12 | So |
| 13 | THE COURT: The return date in room 130? |
| 14 | MR. CIRIC: Not for the motion to dismiss, |
| 15 | for motion for limited discovery, yes. So, the motion |
| 16 | for limited discovery, that return date was at |
| 17 | THE COURT: A little slower and louder. |
| 18 | Okay? |
| 19 | MR. CIRIC: Sorry about that, your Honor. |
| 20 | The motion for limited discovery return date, in the |
| 21 | calendar there's, in fact, two of them. The first |

MR. CIRIC: Sorry about that, your Honor. The motion for limited discovery return date, in the calendar — there's, in fact, two of them. The first one was withdrawn. The second one, which was motion number three on the calendar, the return date was, in fact, for the 14th.

THE COURT: But, in room 130 at 60 Centre Street?

complex issues.

THE COURT: It does, but it may, for purposes of standing not for purposes of -- I mean, standing is, I believe, correctly categorized -- lack of standing is failure to state a claim.

MR. CIRIC: Yes.

THE COURT: So, it does qualify as a CPLR 3211(a)(7) motion. But, insofar as the motion is based on failure to state a claim as opposed to lack of standing, you're right, it may not rely on facts outside the complaint. I, actually, didn't -- I mean, that was my first reaction to -- whose affidavit was it, Mr. Dean, I believe.

MR. CONLEY: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you Mr. Dean?

MR. CONLEY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: But, you know, then, I saw that a lot of the affidavit was really just laying out what the law was. So, I would consider it for that purpose. But, yes, I would not consider any of the facts that are in his affidavit except for purposes of standing.

But, quite honestly, I didn't need to read respondent's motion. All I needed to read was petitioner -- plaintiff's opposition to see that there were problems with standing.

Yes. So, we're perfectly fine

MR. CIRIC:

26

discussing standing today.

THE COURT: Right, but because the motion is on both grounds and I'm going to decide the motion, we can't really bifurcate it that way.

MR. CIRIC: Okay. I understand.

THE COURT: I mean, we could, but you're going to have to come back anyway.

MR. CIRIC: Yes, absolutely. Definitely.

THE COURT: So, would it make sense to argue everything at the same time including the disclosure.

MR. CIRIC: Well, yeah. I mean, for us, the issue for purposes of the, actual, claims as far as the ability of the State to regulate big clients is in fact part of the disclosure. So, if you prefer to not bifurcate, then we would have to, obviously, prepare for an --

THE COURT: It looks to me like the motion for disclosure is a form of opposition to the motion to dismiss. Basically, you're saying you need disclosure. It's sort of like a CPLR 3211D defense to a motion to dismiss. You need disclosure to defeat the motion to dismiss.

MR. CIRIC: Yes, absolutely. That's our position, your Honor.

MR. CONLEY: And, your Honor, our position

on the 14th?

and that --

believe --

So, I can't --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. CIRIC: Yes. It was a snow day.

THE COURT: Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

(The discussion off the record concluded and the following occurred in open court:)

THE COURT: We should be getting it by the end of the week. We'll adjourn this one for the same date?

> MR. CONLEY: That's fine with us.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings.

(Not certified unless signed in blue ink.)

Cheryltre Fruit

Cheryl-Lee Lorient Senior Court Reporter

21

22

23

24

25

26